

MEETING OF THE HIGHWAYS COMMITTEE

Tuesday, 20th April 2004 at 7.00 p.m.

LIST OF DECISIONS

PRESENT: Councillor Jones (Chair), Councillor Kagan (Vice Chair) and Councillors Beswick, R S Patel and Thomas.

Councillors Bellia, Coughlin, Farrell, Gladbaum, Harrod, Hughes, J Long, Moher, O'Sullivan, Sayers, Shaw, Singh and Wharton also attended the meeting.

5. Petitions

(a) **Donnington Road – Against proposed re-routing of Number 6 bus via Donnington Road, Harlesden Road and Pound Lane**

Summary	This petition from residents of Donnington Road, states that: “We, the undersigned, object to the re-routing of the Number 6 bus from its current route via Staverton Road to the proposed new route via Donnington Road, Harlesden Road and Pound Lane. This proposed change will create more congestion around Donnington Primary School.”
Wards	Brondesbury Park, Willesden Green
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

(b) **All Souls Avenue – proposed speed humps**

Summary	This petition from residents of All Souls Avenue, Doyle Gardens and Holland Road states that: “We, the undersigned, strongly object to the proposed plans to install a large speed bump at the following junctions in All Souls Avenue: Doyle Gardens, Holland Road and Philmore Gardens. We would possibly agree to small cushioned humps, approaching each road junction (as recently installed in Salisbury Road, NW6, which is a much busier road than All Souls Avenue).”
Wards	Kensal Green

Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted
------------------------	--

(c) **Chamberlayne Road – Requesting Northern Section of Road to be included under a Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ)**

Summary	<p>This petition from residents of Chamberlayne Road requests:</p> <p>“To include the northern section of Chamberlayne Road NW10 between Souls Avenue and Hardinge Road in a Controlled Parking Zone by making it residents only parking.”</p>
Wards	Brondesbury Park
Decisions Taken	that the petition be noted.

(d) **Palermo Road – Against the Controlled Parking Zone Scheme in Palermo Road**

Summary	<p>The Committee received a petition from residents and businesses of Palermo Road stating:</p> <p>“Brent Council are keen to introduce a Residents’ Parking scheme to Palermo Road which will mean that you will have to pay £50.00 to park in Palermo Road during the day. This will not change the night time arrangements at all, nor will it guarantee a parking place during the day.”</p> <p>The petition asks if residents are in favour or against the scheme.</p>
Wards	Kensal Green
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted

(e) **Maybury Gardens – Request for Resident Parking Bays only**

Summary	The Committee received a petition from residents of Maybury Gardens requesting that: “Resident Permit Holders only bays’ be implemented in Maybury Gardens.”
Wards	Willesden Green
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

(f) **Maybank Avenue – Controlled Parking Zone Scheme**

Summary	The Committee received a petition from residents of Maybank Avenue requesting that residents under CPZ Scheme Zone SH be provided with the option of requesting a parking permit.”
Wards	Sudbury
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

(g) **Wembley Stadium Event Day Parking: Brent Residents & Traders Parking Options (Wembley Park Station Area)**

Summary	<p>The Committee received a petition submitted by the Queensbury Area Residents’ Association Group of Associations on behalf of residents and businesses stating that:</p> <p>“We the undersigned deplore the situation where Brent Council, Members and Departments, are seen to be addressing ‘protective parking’ around the new Wembley Stadium solely by offering the surrounding residents/businesses ‘CPZ’ (Controlled Parking Zone) solutions or similar (eg ‘Stadium Event Day protective parking’).</p> <p>“We require that Brent Council, its Members and Departments, offer all residents/businesses surrounding Wembley Stadium Area, (to at least a 2-mile radius) extra choices or a combination of these.”</p>
Wards	Barnhill, Preston, Tokynton
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

--	--

(h) **Wembley Park Station Area Traders and Residents' Petition**

Summary	<p>The Committee received a petition submitted by the Queensbury Area Residents' Association Group of Associations on behalf of residents and businesses stating that:</p> <p>"We, the undersigned, require that Brent Council, its Council's Members and Departments, provide the following road parking scheme within the Wembley Park Station Area in Forty Avenue, Bridge Road, Wembley Park Drive, Empire Way and Elmside Road:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Free parking bays in front of shops and businesses 2. A limited number of Single Yellow Lines with only a strategic parking restriction time.
Wards	Barnhill, Preston, Tokynton
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

(i) Queensbury Area Residents' Association Group of Associations: Kingsbury (CPZ) Controlled Parking Zone (taken at the meeting at the discretion of the Committee)

Summary	<p>The Committee received a petition submitted by the Queensbury Area Residents' Association Group of Associations and on behalf of residents and businesses stating that:</p> <p>“We, the undersigned, deplore the situation where Brent Council, Members and Departments, are yet again seen to be attempting to introduce a CPZ (controlled parking zone) around the Kingsbury Area (map appendix A page 81) as shown and detailed in the London Borough of Brent Highways Committee Agenda (20th April 2004) report “Review of Short Duration Controlled Parking Zones” pages 75-81.</p> <p>We, the undersigned, require that the Brent Council, it's Highways Committee, Brent Councillors and Departments:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Reject the introduction of any CPZ scheme in the Kingsbury area (and any such suggestion) 2) Reject the conduct of any more “Council” informal “consultations” that have the purpose of introducing any such CPZ scheme as referenced above. 3) We also authorise Mr Robert Dunwell (chairman of the QARA Group of Associations) to represent us as our spokesman and overall co-ordinator for this petition and related matters. We also require that Brent Council, it's Highways Committee, Brent Councillors and Departments deal with Mr Dunwell in this capacity.”
Wards	Fryent, Queensbury
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

(j) **Harlesden Area Proposed Parking Zone – Zone HS (taken at the meeting at the discretion of the Committee)**

Summary	<p>The Committee received a petition from residents stating that:</p> <p>“We the residents of Fairlight Avenue oppose the plans to introduce Controlled Parking on our street. We do not have a parking problem during the day, when the scheme will be operational and we see this scheme as a means of raising additional revenue by the Council, through a scheme that is not required and will be of no benefit to us.</p> <p>Please keep us informed of all developments regarding the above scheme.”</p>
Wards	Harlesden
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted.

(k) **Zone MC objection to proposed changes to residents’ parking (taken at the meeting at the discretion of the Committee)**

Summary	<p>The Committee received a petition from Keyes, Hoveden & Anson Group stating:</p> <p>“Opposition by residents within the CPZ MC Zone to the proposed CPZ operational times.</p>
Wards	Mapesbury
Decisions Taken	that the contents of the petition be noted

6. **Safer Routes to School Programme 2004/2005**

Summary	<p>The Committee had before them a report detailing information about the Safer Routes to School programme for this financial year 2004/05 and seeking approval for officers to proceed with all aspects of scheme development, public consultation, statutory consultation and implementation in order to ensure the delivery of the programme within the 2004/05 financial year.</p>
Wards	All Wards

Decisions Taken	<p>(i) that the programme of Safer Routes to School schemes detailed in the report and the scheme development work to date be noted;</p> <p>(ii) that the public consultation strategy to be adopted for the schemes in the programme as detailed in the report be noted;</p> <p>(iii) that the Director of Transportation be authorised to proceed with any necessary statutory consultation for the schemes, to consider any objections or representations and either to refer objections or comments back to this Committee where he thinks appropriate, or to implement the orders if there are no objections or representations, or he considers the objections or representations are groundless or insignificant.</p>
------------------------	--

7. Petition Objecting To The Use of Donnington Park Road by Buses

	<p>The Committee received a report presenting a petition which had been received by the Council stating that:</p> <p>“We the undersigned object to the re-routing of the Number 6 bus from its current route via Staverton Road to the proposed new route via Donnington Road, Harlesden Road and Pound Lane. The proposed change will create more congestion around Donnington Primary School.”</p>
Wards	Brondesbury Park, Willesden Green
Decisions Taken	<p>(i) that the contents of the petition and the investigations undertaken by officers be noted;</p> <p>(ii) that London Buses be requested to undertake consultation with occupiers of buildings along the proposed route and to consider the interests of all concerned before making any changes to the bus service in this area.</p>

8. Petition – All Souls Avenue, Harlesden. Objection to Local Safety Scheme

Summary	The Committee had before them a report presenting a petition that had been received by the Council from local residents objecting to the installation of three speed platforms at junctions proposed as a part of a local safety scheme in All Souls Avenue, Harlesden.
Wards	Kensal Green
Decisions Taken	<p>(i) that the contents of the petition and the issues raised be noted;</p> <p>(ii) that the four modifications agreed to be made to the scheme as a result of a meeting on the 17th March 2004 between petitioners, councillors and officers to resolve the concerns of the petitioners be noted;</p> <p>(iii) that the decision made at the meeting held on the 17th March 2004 to proceed with implementing the All Souls Avenue local safety scheme as amended in recommendation (ii) above be endorsed.</p>

9. Progress Report on Controlled Parking Zones

Summary	<p>The Committee received a report informing them of the progress with the programme of implementation of Controlled Parking Zones (CPZs) in Brent since the report to the last meeting of the Committee in February 2004, and on the receipt of the following petitions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chamberlayne Road – request for CPZ measures • Maybury Gardens (Zone GH CPZ) – re-allocation of parking to resident permit holder only • Fairlight Avenue (Zone HW CPZ) – request for exclusion from CPZ • Palermo Road – against Zone HW CPZ
Wards	All Wards
Decisions Taken	(i) that the progress on the Controlled Parking Zones programme funded by Transport for London Capital funds (associated with the

	<p>Mayor's Congestion Charging Scheme for Central London 2003/2004) and the Transportation Service Unit revenue budget be noted;</p> <p>(ii) that the programme of CPZ investigations and implementation for the 2004/05 financial year as detailed in item 8.37 of the report be approved;</p> <p>(iii) that the petition received from Chamberlayne Road be noted and it be agreed officers consult residents on CPZ proposals;</p> <p>(iv) that the petition received from Maybury Gardens be noted and it be agreed that the petitioners' request be investigated through a review of the Zone GH CPZ;</p> <p>(v) that the petition from Fairlight Avenue be noted and to proceed with implementation of CPZ Zone HS;</p> <p>(vi) that Zone MJ be approved for statutory consultation as detailed in item 8.28 of the report and shown at appendix H;</p> <p>(vii) that the amendments to the Zones MA and MW boundaries as detailed in items 8.28 and 8.29 of the report and shown at appendix I be approved;</p> <p>(viii) that the inclusion of Harlesden Gardens in its entirety in the Zone HW CPZ be approved and that an early review be undertaken;</p> <p>(ix) that the petition from Palermo Road be noted;</p> <p>(x) that officers be authorised to consult on CPZ proposals for the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zone GB extension (appendix E) • Zone KL extension (appendix L) <p>(xi) that the consultation area boundaries for Zones GB and KL extensions be agreed with local members prior to consultation;</p> <p>(xii) that the operational hours of Zone GA be 10 am to 9 pm, Monday to Saturday;</p> <p>(xiii) that Temple Road be retained in Zone GM CPZ</p>
--	--

	<p>with operational times of 10 am to 9 pm, Monday to Saturday;</p> <p>(xiv) that a separate zone, Zone GW, be created for the streets north of Temple Road with operational times of 10 am to 3 pm, Monday to Saturday, as shown at Appendix A3 to these papers;</p> <p>(xv) that the 'permit holder only' bays in Howard Road, Oaklands Road and Rockhall Road be converted to shared use parking on Fridays only between 12.30 pm and 2.30 pm;</p> <p>(xvi) that the operational times of Zone ST CPZ be 10 am to 3 pm, Monday to Friday;</p> <p>(xvii) that officers investigate the feasibility of introducing additional parking places in Zone KG CPZ and that, if appropriate, the CPZ be amended accordingly;</p> <p>(xviii) that the operational times of CPZ MC Zone remain 10 am to 9 pm Monday to Saturday.</p>
--	--

10. Review of Short Duration Controlled Parking Zones

Summary	Members had before them a report detailing the results of investigations carried out by officers to establish the viability of 'one-hour' or other short duration Controlled Parking Zones (CPZs) in response to frequent requests received from residents and residents' associations and seeking consideration of a specific request for a pilot scheme in the Valley Farm area of Kingsbury.
Wards	All Wards
Decisions Taken	<p>(i) that the contents of the report be noted;</p> <p>(ii) that the option of 1 hour 'yellow line' waiting restrictions as a means of parking control as detailed in 8.7 of the report be rejected;</p> <p>(iii) that further consultation be undertaken.</p>

11. Petition – Traffic Management Measures in Leybourne Road

Summary	The Committee received a report presenting a petition that had been received by the Council from local residents concerning their request for traffic management measures to be introduced in Leybourne Road, Kingsbury.
Wards	Queensbury
Decisions Taken	(i) that the contents of the petition and the issues raised be noted; (ii) that the investigations undertaken by officers be noted; (iii) that the proposed traffic management measures detailed in the report be approved in principle and it be agreed to proceed to public consultation.

12. Consultation on Western Extension to the Congestion Charging Scheme

Summary	Transport for London have requested the London Borough of Brent to submit their views on the Western Extension to the Congestion Charging Scheme in central London by 23 rd April 2004. The report now before the Committee summarised the Congestion Charging proposals, the issues that the Mayor had requested the views of the London boroughs and the specific issues that Brent Council would wish to raise for further discussion with the Mayor's Office.
Wards	
Decisions Taken	that Brent Council's response to the Mayor's consultation be approved, as detailed in section 9 of the report, subject to amendments, so that it may be forwarded to the Mayor before the deadline on 23 rd April 2004.

13. Progress Report on the London Bus Priority Network (LBPN) and London Bus Initiative (LBI) Programme

Summary	The Committee received a report updating them on progress on the LBI/LBPN programme since the last report to the Highways Committee. The report also informed members on the inclusion of bus routes 182 and 92 in the 2004/05 LBI programme and updated members on the outcome of informal consultations on proposed bus priority measures for bus route 266.
Wards	All Wards
Decisions Taken	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) that the progress on LBPN and LBI schemes be noted; (ii) that it be agreed the LBI's route consultants undertake informal consultations on the bus priority schemes, referred to at item 8.6 of the report, and listed at appendix B; (iii) that a re-consultation on a proposed southbound bus lane on Church Road, Harlesden be agreed, as detailed in items 8.7 to 8.10 of the report; (iv) that the minor schemes for Routes 18 and 32, as detailed in item 8.5 of the report, be approved.

14. School Travel Plans

Summary	The Committee received an update outlining the recent developments at national, regional and borough level in School Travel Plans including details of those schools accessing additional capital funding through the DfES and those schools accessing special parking permits for teaching staff.
Wards	All Wards
Decisions Taken	that the works of officers to date and the continuation of the development of School Travel Plans within the Borough's schools be approved.

15. Local Safety Schemes Programme 2004/2005

Summary	The Committee received a report informing them about the Local Safety Schemes programme for this financial year 2004/05 and seeking approval for officers to proceed with all aspects of scheme development, public consultation, statutory consultation and implementation in order to ensure the delivery of the programme within the 2004/05 financial year.
Wards	All Wards
Decisions Taken	<ul style="list-style-type: none">(i) that the extensive programme of local safety schemes detailed in the report and the scheme development work undertaken so far be approved;(ii) that the public consultation strategy to be adopted for the schemes in the programme, as detailed in the report, be approved;(iii) that the Director of Transportation be authorised to proceed with any necessary statutory consultation, to consider any objections or representations and either to refer objections or comments back to this Committee where he thinks appropriate or to implement the order if there are no objections or representations, or he considers the objections or representations are groundless or insignificant.

DATE OF FUTURE MEETINGS

The date of the next meeting of the Committee will be confirmed at the Annual Meeting of the Council.